

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,490	10/28/2003	Aaron D. Bachelder	53932/RAG/C766	5761	
23363 7.	590 05/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			CHANG, SHIRLEY		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA.	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2612		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					R		
-		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/696,	490	BACHELDER ET	AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Shirley (Chang	2612			
D: 6	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress		
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INSTRUCTION OF THE PROVISION OF THE	MAILING DATE OF This of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of the imunication, statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
•	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from c					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October</u> Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	2003 is/are: a)⊠ accection to the drawing(s) og the correction is requ) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have beer ule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage		
2) Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of the No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/696,490 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim(s) 1-2, 11-16 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arbinger (6339382) in view of Hutchinson (2003/0164775).

 As to claim 1,

Arbinger discloses:

An in-vehicle warning system (fig. 1, 2) to warn motorists of approaching emergency vehicle comprising;

a global positioning system receiver in said emergency vehicle (fig. 1, el. 18; col. 3, lines 20-36);

an on-board diagnostic computer receiving the output from said global positioning system receiver and deriving pertinent vehicle data in digital form (plurality of GPS signals triangulated into an emergency location signal string; col. 3, lines 37-53);

Arbinger fails to specifically teach an emergency vehicle transmitter for transmitting a primary audio signal representing pertinent vehicle data and a sub-carrier interrupt; a radio receiver capable of receiving sub-carrier interrupts in said motorist's vehicle receiving said primary audio signal representing pertinent vehicle data from said emergency vehicle transmitter; whereby said radio receiver broadcasts an audio warning about the approach of an emergency vehicle.

In an analogous art, Hutchinson discloses:

an emergency vehicle transmitter for transmitting a primary audio signal representing pertinent vehicle data and a sub-carrier interrupt ([0019-0020]; [0017])

a radio receiver capable of receiving sub-carrier interrupts in said motorist's vehicle receiving said primary audio signal representing pertinent vehicle data from said emergency vehicle transmitter; whereby said radio receiver broadcasts an audio warning about the approach of an emergency vehicle ([0020] automatically retunes)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger's system to teach an emergency vehicle transmitter for transmitting a primary audio signal representing pertinent vehicle data and a sub-carrier interrupt; a radio receiver capable of receiving sub-carrier interrupts in said motorist's vehicle receiving said primary audio signal representing pertinent vehicle data from said emergency vehicle transmitter; whereby said radio receiver broadcasts an audio warning about the approach of an

emergency vehicle, as taught by Hutchinson, so as to allow emergency service vehicles to be heard despite improved sound proofing in cars and more powerful sound systems.

As to claim 2,

Hutchinson discloses:

including a master controller receiving the output from said emergency vehicle on-board diagnostic computer, said master controller generating said primary audio signal to be sent by said transmitter ([0017]; [0019-0020]).

As to claim 11,

Arbinger discloses:

An in-vehicle emergency warning system (fig. 1,2) comprising;

an on-board computer in said emergency vehicle; a global positioning system transceiver connected to said emergency on-board computer for calculation of relative position of said emergency vehicle; (plurality of GPS signals triangulated into an emergency location signal string; col. 3, lines 37-53);

Arbinger fails to specifically teach a master controller receiving the output from said emergency vehicle on-board diagnostic computer; a transmitter on said emergency vehicle, said transmitter receiving and transmitting audio and data signals from said master controller on a pre-selected sub-carrier frequency to a motorist's vehicle; a radio in said motorist's vehicle capable of receiving an interrupt signal to interrupt standard broadcasts and receive audio and data from said emergency vehicle; said emergency vehicle transmitter transmitting an interrupt signal to interrupt standard broadcasts on

Application/Control Number: 10/696,490

Art Unit: 2612

said motorist's radio and an audio signal alerting a motorist of an approaching emergency vehicle.

In an analogous art, Hutchinson discloses:

a master controller receiving the output from said emergency vehicle on-board diagnostic computer ([0017]; [0019-0020]);

a transmitter on said emergency vehicle, said transmitter receiving and transmitting audio and data signals from said master controller on a pre-selected sub-carrier frequency to a motorist's vehicle ([0019-0020]; [0017]);

a radio in said motorist's vehicle capable of receiving an interrupt signal to interrupt standard broadcasts and receive audio and data from said emergency vehicle ([0020] automatically retunes)

said emergency vehicle transmitter transmitting an interrupt signal to interrupt standard broadcasts on said motorist's radio and an audio signal alerting a motorist of an approaching emergency vehicle ([0019-0020]; [0017]).

As to claim 12,

Arbinger discloses:

said emergency vehicle transmitter receiving position information from said global positioning system and on-board computer (plurality of GPS signals triangulated into an emergency location signal string; col. 3, lines 37-53);

Hutchinson discloses:

position information delivered to onboard computer to a master controller; said master controller selecting and delivering an appropriate emergency audio signal

representing the position of an emergency vehicle relative to a motorist's vehicle to said transmitter; whereby said emergency audio signal is heard by said motorist over speakers connected to said radio ([0017]; [0019-0020]);

As to claim 13,

Arbinger discloses:

said emergency vehicle on-board computer calculates emergency vehicle information from data received from said global positioning system (plurality of GPS signals triangulated into an emergency location signal string; col. 3, lines 37-53); Hutchinson discloses:

Position information delivered to a master controller; said position information being delivered to a master controller; said master controller deriving pertinent information from said on-board diagnostic computer output and generating an output to said transmitter; said transmitter an audio primary frequency and a data sub-carrier frequency to said motorist's radio ([0017]; [0019-0020]).

As to claim 14,

Arbinger discloses:

A method of warning motorists of the approach of emergency vehicles comprising; deriving pertinent emergency vehicle information by an on-board diagnostic computer connected to a global positioning system receiver (plurality of GPS signals triangulated into an emergency location signal string; col. 3, lines 37-53);

Arbinger fails to specifically teach processing a data stream from said on-board diagnostic computer in a master controller; transmitting information generated by said master controller to a motorist's radio capable of receiving sub-carrier interrupts; whereby said sub-carrier interrupt capable radio broadcasts an audio message warning a motorist of an approaching emergency vehicle.

In an analogous art, Hutchinson discloses:

processing a data stream from said on-board diagnostic computer in a master controller; transmitting information generated by said master controller to a motorist's radio capable of receiving sub-carrier interrupts; whereby said sub-carrier interrupt capable radio broadcasts an audio message warning a motorist of an approaching emergency vehicle ([0017]; [0019-0020]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger's system to teach processing a data stream from said on-board diagnostic computer in a master controller; transmitting information generated by said master controller to a motorist's radio capable of receiving sub-carrier interrupts; whereby said sub-carrier interrupt capable radio broadcasts an audio message warning a motorist of an approaching emergency vehicle, as taught by Hutchinson, so as to allow so as to allow emergency service vehicles to be heard despite improved sound proofing in cars and more powerful sound systems.

As to claim 15,

Arbinger discloses:

said emergency vehicle on-board diagnostic computer derives pertinent information regarding vehicle speed, location and position (col. 4, lines 10-20; location, position, velocity).

As to claim 16,

said information transmitted from said emergency vehicle to said motorist's radio comprises a primary audio frequency and a data sub-carrier frequency ([0019-0020]; [0017]).

2. Claim(s) 3-10, 17-20 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arbinger (6339382) in view of Hutchinson (2003/0164775), and in further view of Markow (6087961).

As to claim 3,

Arbinger in view of Hutchinson fail to specifically teach including a dash-board based indicator in said motorist's vehicle for indicating the approach of an emergency vehicle.

In an analogous art, Markow discloses including a dash-board based indicator in said motorist's vehicle for indicating the approach of an emergency vehicle (receiver and radio may be a single unit; col. 4, lines 6-20; fig. 2, 4; display screen 100, col. 4, lines 21-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger in view of Hutchinson's system to teach including a dash-board based indicator in said

motorist's vehicle for indicating the approach of an emergency vehicle, as taught by Markow, so as to allow provide the vehicle operator with a visual reference as to the presence and location of the approaching emergency.

As to claim 4,

Markow discloses:

said dash-board based indicator is an icon that is illuminated when an output from said emergency vehicle transmitter is received (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4).

As to claim 5,

Markow discloses a vehicle shaped icon (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger in view of Hutchinson, in further view of Markow in order to have said icon is brightly illuminated letters "EV" on a dashboard display, so allow so allow a user and to view an effective visual display.

As to claim 6,

Markow discloses:

dash-based visual indicator includes icons around a central icon that indicate relative position of an emergency vehicle (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4).

As to claim 7,

said central icon is a brightly illuminated "EV" (see claim 5; Although Arbinger in view of It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger in view of Hutchinson, in further view of Markow in order to have an illuminated icon, so allow a user and to view an effective visual display.

As to claim 8,

said icons around said central icon comprise a plurality of dots in a circle around said central icon (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4; dots is defined as small spots).

As to claim 9,

Markow discloses said plurality of dots around said central icon, equally spaced in a circle around said central icon (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4; dots is defined as small spots). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger in view of Hutchinson, in further view of Markow to teach eight brightly illuminated dots equally spaced in a circle around said central icon so as to allow provide the vehicle operator with a visual reference as to the presence and location of the approaching emergency. As to claim 10,

Markow discloses:

at least one of said dots is illuminated to indicate the relative position of an emergency vehicle col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4).

As to claim 17,

including a visual indicator for indicating the approach of an emergency vehicle on a dash-based visual display Arbinger in view of Hutchinson fail to specifically teach including a dash-board based indicator in said motorist's vehicle for indicating the approach of an emergency vehicle.

In an analogous art, Markow discloses including a dash-board based indicator in said motorist's vehicle for indicating the approach of an emergency vehicle (receiver and

radio may be a single unit; col. 4, lines 6-20; fig. 2, 4; display screen 100, col. 4, lines 21-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger in view of Hutchinson's system to teach including a dash-board based indicator in said motorist's vehicle for indicating the approach of an emergency vehicle, as taught by Markow, so as to allow provide the vehicle operator with a visual reference as to the presence and location of the approaching emergency.

As to claim 18,

said dash-board visual display illuminates an icon to indicate the approach of an emergency vehicle (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger in view of Hutchinson, in further view of Markow in order to have an illuminated icon, so allow a user and to view an effective visual display.

As to claim 19,

Markow discloses:

said dash-board visual display illuminates one of a plurality of dots in a circle around said icon to indicate the relative position of an emergency vehicle (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4; dots is defined as small spots).

As to claim 20,

Markow discloses a vehicle shaped icon (col. 4, lines 21-37; fig. 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,490 Page 12

Art Unit: 2612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arbinger in view of Hutchinson, in further view of Markow in order to have an illuminated icon on said dashboard visual display is a large "EV" icon, so allow so allow a user and to view an effective visual display.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-8546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600